



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/705,152	11/02/2000	Martin Hering	17857.4	4568	
7:	590 08/13/2002	·			
Carl M Napolitano Ph D ALLEN DYER DOPPELT MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P A P O Box 3791			EXAMINER		
			STRIMBU, GREGORY J		
Orlando, FL 3	2802-3791		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3634		
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No		Applicant(s)					
•		09/705,152		HERING, MARTIN	4				
\	Office Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit					
		Gregory J. Strim		3634					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Renly	ears on the cove	ersh et with the c	orrespond nce address	}				
A SH THE: - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory mi vill apply and will expire cause the application	rever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 J	- -							
2a) <u></u> □	<i>,</i> —	is action is non-f							
3)	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under				nits is				
·	on of Claims								
•	Claim(s) <u>62-85</u> is/are pending in the applicatio		4 *						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	6) Claim(s) 62-85 is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election require	ement.						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r							
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		ted to by the Exar	miner.					
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		-						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			•					
12) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	_	е				
14) 🗌 A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •							
Attachmen	-		00						
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>11</u>	4) 5) 1 +13 6)	•	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	_				

` Art Unit: 3634

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because "removable" is grammatically awkward and confusing. It is suggested that the applicant change "removable" to --removably-- to avoid confusion. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 62-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Recitations such as "a turnstile" on line 3 of claim 62 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear if the applicant is referring to the turnstile set forth above or is attempting to set forth another turnstile in addition to the one set forth above.

Recitations such as "carrying indicia by the arm" on line 6 of claim 62 render the claims indefinite because they are grammatically awkward and confusing and because they fail to set forth a step of the method. Recitations such as "providing . . . the collar" on lines 2-3 of claim 65 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear if the collar is merely for securing the sleeve to the arm or it actually secures the sleeve to the arm. Line 2 implies the former while line 3 implies the latter.

4 Art Unit: 3634

Double Patenting

Claims 62-85 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 16-18 of U.S. Patent No. 5,430,974 in view of Harrison et al. Claims 16-18 of U.S. Patent No. 5,430,974 recite the same limitations as claims 62-85 but for the step of providing a turnstile for defining a passageway and an arm moveable into and out of the passageway.

However, Harrison et al. discloses providing a turnstile for defining a passageway (not shown, but seen column 1, lines 1-19) an arm 3 moveable into and out of the passageway.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide claims 16-18 of U.S. Patent No. 5,430,974 with a turnstile for providing a passageway, as taught by Harrison et al., to ensure that the people enter and exit through the designated exits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 62-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harrison et al. Harrison et al. discloses a turnstile (not generally numbered, but seen in figure 1), comprising a turnstile defining a passageway (not shown, but see lines 1-19 of column 1), the turnstile having an arm 3 movable into the passageway for blocking

Application/Control Number: 09/705,152

Art Unit: 3634

passage of a person therethrough and out of the passage way for permitting passage therethrough, and carrying indicia 30 by the arm, wherein the indicia is positioned for viewing by the person when the arm is in the passageway blocking passage therethrough. Harrison et al. further discloses a sheet/sleeve/covering 27 and a collar 28.

Although Harrison et al. is silent concerning the specific method of manufacturing the apparatus as disclosed by Harrison et al., the manufacture of the apparatus disclosed by Harrison et al. would inherently lead to the method steps recited in claims 62-85.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sandling, Baltzley and Flowers are cited for disclosing a turnstile having indicia on the arms thereof. Hyden is cited for disclosing a turnstile having indicia thereon. Mace is cited for disclosing attaching indicia to a horizontally extending bar.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Strimbu whose telephone number is 703-305-3979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 09/705,152

Art Unit: 3634

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3597 for regular communications and 703-305-3597 for After Final communications.

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

2168.

Gregory J. Strimbu Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3634 August 7, 2002